



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/492,935 06/21/95 DUMN **EXAMINER** SILBERMANN, J C5M1/0418 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER TERRANCE L SIEMENS P 0 BOX 2832 FAIRFAX VA 22031 3509 **DATE MAILED:** 04/18/96 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on\_\_\_\_\_\_ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire \_\_\_\_\_\_ month(s), \_\_\_\_\_ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Motice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims\_\_\_\_\_\_ are pending in the application. Of the above, claims \_\_\_\_\_\_ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims\_\_\_\_\_ have been cancelled. 3. Claims 4. X Claims 1-4 are rejected. 5. Claims \_\_\_\_\_\_ 6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_\_\_\_\_\_. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 are \_\_\_\_\_ acceptable; \_\_\_ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ has (have) been approved by the 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed \_\_\_\_\_\_, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. 🔲 Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🗖 been received 🗖 not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_\_\_\_\_; filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_; 13. 🔲 Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 08/492,935

Art Unit: 3509

## Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hendle, UK application 2,212,316.

Hendle discloses a display enclosure including integral back frame 10 having two sides, a top, a bottom and mounting braces 12, front cover 14 having top, bottom and side members and transparent front 11, locking mechanism 15, and releasable posting board 18 (Fig. 4) held therein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C.  $\S$  103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same